Rafting and elastoplastic deformation of superalloys studied by neutron diffraction
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ABSTRACT

The rafting of monocrystalline Ni- and Co-based superalloys has been studied by neutron diffractometry. Lattice parameter misfit values and the difference in phase stiffnesses at room temperature, 650 °C, and 900 °C are presented. These microstructural parameters should assist in refining computer models that aim to predict precipitate evolution in superalloys and aid future alloy design. The nature of rafting is shown experimentally to be dependent upon the lattice parameter misfit. The 900 °C yield strength of the γ-phase of the Co-based superalloy with a rafted microstructure occurs at ∼100 MPa, when loaded at a low strain rate.

© 2017 Acta Materialia Inc. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
elastic constants have been measured at room temperature and 650 °C [21].

The deduction of phase elastic constants and lattice parameter misfit values by neutron diffraction are of fundamental interest, as the strengthening γ precipitate size and morphological evolution at elevated temperatures (with or without applied stresses) are dependent on these microstructural parameters [24]. The direction and rate of rafting (directional coarsening at elevated temperature and stress) are dependent on the direction and magnitude of the applied load, the lattice parameter misfit value, and the fractional difference in constrained elastic constants of \( \gamma (E^{\gamma, \text{eff}}) \) and \( \gamma (E^{\gamma, \text{eff}}') \) [24]

\[
m^{\text{eff}} = \frac{2(E^{\gamma, \text{eff}} - E^{\gamma, \text{eff}}')}{E^{\gamma, \text{eff}} + E^{\gamma, \text{eff}}'}
\]  

(2)

The influence of dislocations on precipitate rafting must also be considered during creep. Specifically, it is known that raft orientation and particle growth rate are dependent upon the relief of lattice parameter misfit strains in specific channels by dislocations at the γ/γ' interface [25,26].

This paper studies the lattice strain response of both γ- and γ'-phases during loading of monocrystalline Ni- and Co-based superalloys with cuboidal and rafted γ'-microstructures at room temperature, 650 °C and 900 °C. \( \delta^{\text{eff}} \) values of the Ni-based superalloy, with rafted microstructures, are found to be highly anisotropic, while those of the Co-based superalloy values are isotropic. Rafting is shown to be dependent on the lattice parameter misfit sign and magnitude, in agreement with theory [27]. Load partitioning from γ to γ' occurred on yielding of the Co-based superalloy with rafted γ'-microstructures. The quantification of the constrained lattice parameter misfit values and elastic constants from room temperature to elevated temperatures will facilitate models of the precipitate evolution in Co-based superalloys, as has been attempted for the Ni-based superalloys, e.g. [28].

(100) oriented single crystal bars of the Ni-based superalloy CMSX-4 were provided by Rolls-Royce plc.1 Derby, U.K., following a proprietary solution heat-treatment and a two-step aging process of 1140 °C/2 h + 870 °C/16 h. (100) oriented bars of single crystal Co-based superalloy with the composition Co-27.3Ni-2.7Al-1.4Ti-5.8W-4.2Mo-8.2Nb-8.7Ta wt% (Co-28.8Ni-6.2Al-1.8Ti-2.0W-2.7Mo-1.8Nb-0.9Ta at%), determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry and labelled L19C, were cast by Alcoa-Howmet Research Center and Exothermics Inc., New Jersey, U.S.A.; with a final heat-treatment of 1300 °C/24 h + 900 °C/24 h. The microstructure following this heat-treatment is shown in Fig. 1a.

12.7 mm gauge diameter Ni-based superalloy single crystal and 6.35 mm gauge diameter Co-based single crystal superalloy cylindrical tensile specimens were machined from the heat-treated bars, with 40 mm gauge lengths. Samples of the Co-based superalloy were crept under tension at 900 °C/100 MPa for 20 h with 0.2% strain accumulation, producing P-type γ'-rafts (aligned parallel to the tensile loading direction), Fig. 1b. Samples of the Ni-based superalloy were crept under tension at 1150 °C/100 MPa for 10 h with 0.7% strain accumulation, producing N-type γ'-rafts (aligned normal to the tensile loading direction), Fig. 1c. The samples were heated by an induction coil with the sample grips chilled. Displacement was recorded with a 12 mm high-temperature extensometer centred on the gauge length. The temperature gradient across the extensometer length was always within 10 °C of the target temperature, and thus can be considered isothermal.

In order to study the lattice strain response of each phase in the linear elastic loading regime, in-situ neutron diffraction measurements were performed by sequentially stepping the applied tensile stress after each measurement at room temperature, 650 °C and 900 °C with samples of: (i) a Co-based superalloy with cuboidal γ'-microstructure (Fig. 1a); (ii) a Co-based superalloy with P-type γ'-rafts (Fig. 1b); (iii) a Ni-based superalloy with N-type γ'-rafts (Fig. 1c). All 900 °C measurements were taken between 15–150 MPa. The room temperature and 650 °C measurements of the rafted γ' Co-based superalloy were taken between 15–300 MPa, while those of the cuboidal γ Co-based superalloy and rafted γ Ni-based superalloy were taken between 15–550 MPa. These stress ranges were selected to try to ensure that the alloy under test remained in the elastic regime during testing. Each ramp in stress between diffraction measurements was linear with time and occurred over a 1 min time period.

Neutron diffraction measurements were performed on VULCAN [29], the time-of-flight (TOF) engineering neutron diffractometer at the spallation neutron source (SNS), Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), Tennessee, U.S.A., in a similar manner to described previously [7]. The incident beam, sample and detector banks were positioned to give the longitudinal lattice plane displacement in one detector and the transverse lattice plane displacement in the other. The beam frequency was 60 Hz in the high resolution mode with count times of 20 min for each diffraction measurement performed and a 7 mm irradiated gauge volume was centred at the middle of the specimen and extensometer.

Pseudo-Voigt peak functions were fitted to the diffraction spectra peaks by an iterative least-squares error minimisation procedure, in a similar manner to [1,7]. For the case of the Co-based superalloys, the intensities of the [300] γ peaks were too weak to determine accurately the γ d-spacing, but were sufficient to identify the γ' peak-position in the [200] γ + γ' doublet peak, by \( d_{(200)}^{\gamma', \text{eff}} = 1.5d_{(300)}^{\gamma', \text{eff}} \). The Co-based superalloy [200] γ + γ' peaks were widely separated (indicating a large lattice parameter misfit value) and fitted with a
during loading of the samples by time-of-flight diffraction at a) and b) room temperature (RT) and 900 °C, were then calculated (using Eq. (1)) along the longitudinal direction, which yielded (Fig. 3b). In Fig. 3b there is an initial linear regime to 100 MPa, above which the phase yields and additional elastic strain is transferred to the strengthening γ phase.

The constrained elastic moduli and effective misfits are presented in Table 1, along with the alloy elastic modulus determined from the strain gauge (E). Following the peak fitting of the diffraction data at each temperature, the (200) d-spacing value of each phase was plotted against the engineering stress, and the d0 value at zero stress was found from the y-axis intercept of a linear fit to the data. The constrained lattice parameter misfit values at zero stress were then calculated (using Eq. (1)) along the longitudinal direction, parallel to the loading axis, labelled $\delta^{\gamma}_{00}$, and transverse to the loading axis, labelled $\delta^{\gamma}_{h0}$ (see Fig. 1b and c for reference). The constrained lattice strains for each phase at each stress were calculated as $\varepsilon = (d-d_0)/d_0$ and plotted as stress-lattice strain graphs, with the slope of the linear fits corresponding to the constrained phase lattice stiffnesses, Fig. 3. In Table 1, $E_{\gamma}^{\gamma}$ is the constrained stiffness of each phase in the longitudinal direction and $E_{\gamma}^{\gamma}$ is that in the transverse direction. The m parameter was calculated from these stiffness data using Eq. (2). The transverse data were sufficiently reliable to allow the d0 value to be determined in the transverse direction and thereby calculate the lattice parameter misfit. However, the error in the fit of the transverse elastic moduli was sufficiently large that it is misleading to compare Poisson’s ratio values of each phase at each temperature, other than noting that they were in a range of 0.29 –0.57. Difficulties with the transverse diffraction data analysis are common, and are frequently not presented, for example [1].

The Co-based superalloy possesses similar positive constrained lattice parameter misfits in the (100) and (010), lying between 0.45 –0.68 % for all measurements. This produces P-type rafts aligned along the tensile axis at 940 °C/100 MPa in this alloy, which have been noted before experimentally (e.g.[30,31]) and predicted [24]. Work on a ternary alloy, Co-9Al-9W at.%, has shown that the constrained lattice parameter misfit value is approximately constant ($\delta^{\gamma} \sim 0.72$%) from room temperature to 600 °C, but then steadily decreases to $\delta^{\gamma} \sim 0.1$% at 900 °C [19]. A similar trend was noted by Yan et al. [21] for Co-6.5Al-6W-2Ti at.%, which had a stable $\delta^{\gamma} \sim 0.6$% to 700 °C, after which it decreased to –0.5% at 800 °C. Both authors attributed the decrease of lattice parameter misfit to the onset of dissolution of the γ-phase. However Yan et al. [21] also observed that Co-10Al-5W-2Ta at.% possessed a stable $\delta^{\gamma} \sim 0.7$% to 800 °C (Co-11Fe-10Co at.% [22] shows a decrease of $\delta^{\gamma}$ to 0.5% at 800 °C). Similar to this work, L19C, is highly alloyed, but notably also possesses 1 at.% Ta and possesses a stable $\delta^{\gamma} \sim 0.5$ to 900 °C. Thus, it appears that $\delta^{\gamma}$ can be tailored and stabilised, particularly through Ta additions.

The positive $\delta^{\gamma}_{00}$ values and negative $\delta^{\gamma}_{h0}$ values of the Ni-based superalloy with rafted γ-microstructure are highly anisotropic. A load transfer from γ to η during the rafting treatment should be observed as a positive misfit in the longitudinal direction, and a negative misfit in the transverse direction. The Ni-based superalloy accumulated 0.7% plastic strain during the rafting treatment, while there was limited change in the Co-based superalloy misfit values, as this alloy only accumulated 0.2% plastic strain during rafting.

According to the classic model of Pineau [33], rafting can only occur if the γ-phase is stiffer than the γ-matrix, i.e. $m > 0$. Experimental quantification of m at elevated temperatures is limited, and is typically estimated to be 0.1 for the Ni-based superalloys [24]. In this work, it is interesting to note that $m^{\gamma}_{(00)} \sim 0$ at 650 °C for the Ni-based superalloy with cuboidal [18] and rafted γ-microstructures, and rafting does not occur at this temperature [18], but does occur at 900 °C [18] where $m^{\gamma}_{(00)} \sim 0.1$. However, this theory neglects the role of dislocations, and the observation of γ rafts formed at 900 °C may simply be related to the higher diffusion rates at elevated temperatures.

It is unclear if rafting would occur at 650 °C for the Co-based superalloy in the absence of plastic strain, as $m^{\gamma}_{(00)} \sim 0.07$ for the cuboidal γ'-structure and $m^{\gamma}_{(00)} \sim 0$ for the rafted structure.
The microstructure was observed at 900 °C, indicating theories in the absence of plastic deformation [24]. Yielding was quantified, which are of particular relevance to directional coarsening theories in the absence of plastic deformation [24]. Yielding of 150 MPa. Thus, it appears that if the Co-based superalloys are to supersede Ni-based superalloys in load bearing applications at high temperatures. The data presented will help refine computer-based models that aim to predict precipitate evolution in superalloys.
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>(E'_{\text{eff}})</th>
<th>(E''_{\text{eff}})</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{\text{eff}})</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{\text{eff}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMSX-4</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>128 ± 3</td>
<td>109 ± 7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSX-4</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>105 ± 4</td>
<td>106 ± 7</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSX-4 Rft</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>87 ± 2</td>
<td>89 ± 3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSX-4 Rft</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>133 ± 1</td>
<td>133 ± 3</td>
<td>125 ± 3</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSX-4 Rft</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>97 ± 8</td>
<td>101 ± 4</td>
<td>94 ± 4</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L19C Cube</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>140 ± 1</td>
<td>139 ± 3</td>
<td>130 ± 2</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L19C Cube</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>115 ± 2</td>
<td>108 ± 1</td>
<td>101 ± 2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L19C Cube</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>88 ± 7</td>
<td>105 ± 9</td>
<td>65 ± 3</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L19C Rft</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>122 ± 3</td>
<td>126 ± 1</td>
<td>124 ± 1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L19C Rft</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>107 ± 2</td>
<td>105 ± 2</td>
<td>110 ± 2</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L19C Rft</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>57 ± 4</td>
<td>86 ± 5</td>
<td>81 ± 1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the Co-based superalloy with a cuboidal γ′-microstructure at 900 °C, \(m_{\text{eff}}\) ∼ 0.5. Given the large magnitude of this parameter, the peak fitting constraints were altered to check for a procedural error, but the \(m_{\text{eff}}\) value was always within 0.35 –0.5. In this case, the model of Pineau predicts that rafting will occur on loading, even in the absence of plastic deformation [33].

The alloy elastic modulus should lie within or close to the range of the elastic moduli of γ and γ′. This was observed for all measurements except the rafted γ Co-based superalloy measurements at 900 °C. In this case, the alloy elastic modulus was notably low, being ∼ 60 GPa. It is possible that this arose as a result of fitting to the limited number of data points in the elastic regime at this temperature. The constrained elastic modulus \(E_{\text{eff}}\) ∼ 65 GPa of the γ-phase of the Co-based superalloy with embedded cuboidal γ′ is also low. The microstructure changes rapidly at this temperature and stress (it is close to the known rafting condition of 940 °C MPa). While it is plausible that this experimental issue affects the determined constrained elastic moduli; it is noted that the alloy elastic modulus lies halfway between the bounds of the γ and γ′ constrained elastic moduli, and the volume fraction of the unrafted alloy is ∼50%. This suggests that this may indeed be indicative of a significantly lower elastic modulus in the γ-phase at this temperature.

It is clear that at 900 °C the γ-phase in the Co-based superalloy is weak, yielding at only 100 MPa when loaded at a low strain rate and the precipitates are rafted, Fig. 3b. For this test, the strain rate was ∼10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ prior to yielding at 100 MPa. The low strain rate is noted as superalloys can exhibit a dependency of yield stress with strain rate at elevated temperatures, for example [35]. This low yield stress is a concern for alloy design as plastic deformation of this phase will limit the temperatures and stresses that may be tolerated in service. In comparison, the yield strength in CMSX-4 at 900 °C has been reported to be ∼875 MPa [34] and no evidence of γ phase yielding was observed during the experiment up to an applied tensile stress of 150 MPa. Thus, it appears that if the Co-based superalloys are to supersede the Ni-based superalloys in gas-turbine applications, the high temperature phase strength must be increased, along with high temperature phase stability.

In summary, the rafting of both Ni- and Co-based superalloys has been studied by neutron diffractometry. The nature of rafting was conclusively shown to be dependent upon both the direction and magnitude of the constrained lattice parameter misfit values, as suggested previously [27]. The m parameter values have also been quantified, which are of particular relevance to directional coarsening theories in the absence of plastic deformation [24]. Yielding at 900 °C of the γ-phase of the Co-based superalloy with a rafted microstructure was observed at ∼100 MPa. This strength must be improved with judicious alloying if Co-based superalloys are to supersede Ni-based superalloys in load bearing applications at high temperatures. The data presented will help refine computer-based models that aim to predict precipitate evolution in superalloys.
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